

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,719	HOHNE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Insun Kang	2193	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Insun Kang (examiner). (3) _____

(2) Mr. Grubert (reg. 59,143). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 August 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

1, 3-10

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The amendments in this examiner's amendment that were necessary in order to further clarify the claims and obviate any rejection under 112 2nd were discussed during the interview.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MENG-AL T. AN
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)